

### Prostate Cancer Biomarker Testing Sample Requirements, Methods, and Opportunities

Precision Medicine

# Biomarker-informed decision-making in prostate cancer improves outcomes<sup>1</sup>

Multiple alterations contribute to the evolution of prostate cancer <sup>1,2</sup>				
Androgen signaling	Androgen receptor (AR) amplification, AR mutations, AR variants, GATA2, FOXA1, SRCs, MAGE-11			
DNA repair system and oncosuppressors	Homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes ( <i>BRCA1,</i> BRCA2, ATM, CHEK2, PALB2, RAD51D), MSH2, CHD1, TP53, Rb, PTEN, SPOP			
Prostate-specific antigens and transcription factors	TMPRSS2:ERG, ETV1, ERF, NKX3.1, PCA3, PSMA			
Oncogenes and growth factor receptors	Myc, EGFR, KGFR, IGFR			

Biomarker-informed disease management has improved outcomes in prostate cancer<sup>1</sup>

In *BRCA1/2*-mutated prostate cancer, PARP inhibitors have been shown to hinder DNA repair mechanisms, effectively delaying disease progression in advanced cases<sup>3–5</sup>

### Did you know?

In a study of patients with prostate cancer post radical prostatectomy, biomarker testing results changed management recommendations for 39% of patients, significantly reducing two-year PSA recurrence rates<sup>6,7\*</sup>

# Guidelines recommend molecular testing in prostate cancer<sup>6,8,9\*†</sup>

Guidelines recommend molecular testing for prostate cancer to<sup>6,8,9\*†</sup>:



Understand the risk of developing prostate cancer



Identify prognostic markers



Determine potential targeted therapies

\*NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way. †AUA/SUO Advanced Prostate Cancer Guideline discusses molecular testing for metastatic disease.<sup>9</sup>

### Successful biomarker testing relies on adherence to best practices<sup>6,8,10,11\*</sup>



MDT communication is essential for timely and accurate diagnosis and management of patients with prostate cancer<sup>12–14</sup>

### **Opportunity to optimize**

Early consultation with MDT members may help ensure that adequate samples are acquired and appropriately prepared for the intended testing procedure<sup>12–14</sup>

\*NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way. <sup>†</sup>Dependent on cancer type and procedure.<sup>13,14</sup>

### Tissue biopsy should be performed when advanced prostate cancer is suspected and no prior histologic confirmation<sup>9</sup>

Biopsy is recommended for primary tumors, or metastatic sites when feasible, which allows for histological confirmation and molecular evaluation to help guide treatment decisions for patients with advanced prostate cancer<sup>9</sup>



#### Sampling considerations

- Can be performed through a **systematic and/or targeted** imaging approaches (MRI-TRUS) with improved diagnostic accuracy<sup>15-17</sup>
- Tissue can be taken from primary or metastatic sites<sup>18,19</sup>
   Nonbone metastases are preferred for biopsy due to higher tumor yield and feasibility<sup>18</sup>
- Account for the amount of tissue required for molecular pathology<sup>14</sup>



### Analysis considerations

- Analysis can be done on archived or freshly collected tissue<sup>14,18</sup>
- Availability of sufficient genomic material for comprehensivetesting<sup>18,20</sup>
- Tumor heterogeneity may lead to false negatives<sup>18,21,22</sup>

### **Opportunity to optimize**

Rapid on-site evaluation (ROSE) helps ensure optimal sample collection and improve diagnostic efficiency<sup>13</sup>

# Liquid biopsy can be used when tissue biopsy is inadequate or inappropriate<sup>22</sup>

#### When to consider liquid biopsy?





#### Sampling considerations

- Is minimally invasive and can be easily accessed in comparison with tumor  $tissue^{22,23}$
- Provides an overview of both primary and metastatic sites<sup>22</sup>
- Sample quality is dependent on shedding of circulating tumor markers<sup>22,24</sup>
- Collection during progression is preferred to maximize diagnostic yield<sup>6\*</sup>

As a complement to tissue testing<sup>22</sup>

Where tissue biopsy is not possible or sufficient<sup>22</sup>

When only archival tissue DNA is available<sup>22</sup>



- Repeat testing is feasible due to minimally invasive nature of liquid biopsy<sup>22</sup>
- Analysis is not limited by tumor heterogeneity as it may be for tissue biopsy<sup>22</sup>
- May be associated with false negatives if samples are below limit of detection<sup>18</sup>

### **Opportunity to optimize**

### Regardless of biopsy method, it is important to obtain sufficient and appropriate samples for molecular analysis<sup>18</sup>

\*NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

### Biomarker tests may be ordered at initial diagnosis and/or when disease progresses<sup>6,8\*</sup>



# Actionable biomarkers in prostate cancer that can inform treatment decisions may be detected by different assays with unique capabilities<sup>28–34</sup>

	Single-biomarker test <sup>32,35</sup>		Broad-based panel <sup>32,35</sup>	
Test type	Immunohistochemistry (IHC) <sup>28,29</sup>	Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) <sup>29–31</sup>	Next-generation sequencing (NGS) <sup>29,32–34</sup>	
			Cumulative read digith (Bumina security (2 × 151-bp)	
Alteration type(s) detected	Overexpression/ amplification, protein expression	Single-nucleotide variant (SNV), copy number variant (CNV), insertions- deletions (indels), known gene fusions	SNV, CNV, indels, genomic rearrangements	
Actionable biomarkers detected	MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, PMS2	MSI, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, PMS2	MSI, TMB, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, PMS2, ATM, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDK12, CHEK2, PALB2, FANCA, NBN	

Images adapted from: Kipf E, Schlenker F, Borst N, et al. Advanced minimal residual disease monitoring for acute lymphoblastic leukemia with multiplex mediator probe PCR. *J Mol Diagn*. 2022;24(1):57–68; Watson CM, Nadat F, Ahmed S, et al. Identification of a novel MAGT1 mutation supports a diagnosis of XMEN disease. *Genes Immun*. 2022;23(2):66–72. https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

### **Opportunity to optimize**

NGS is an efficient way to test for multiple alterations<sup>29,32</sup>

## NGS testing in prostate cancer is increasing and helps inform treatment decisions<sup>36</sup>



Overall rates of **NGS testing** in the US increased from **19.0% in 2015 to 27.1% in 2022** for males with metastatic prostate cancer<sup>36</sup>

Advantages <sup>36–38</sup>	Challenges <sup>37</sup>
<ul> <li>Fast, accurate, and comprehensive assessment to guide treatment decisions</li> <li>Efficiently identifies more actionable alterations, leading to improved outcomes and reduced cost compared with single- gene testing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Access to fresh/frozen tissue is preferred, but not always feasible</li> <li>Routine sampling of metastases is not always performed</li> </ul>

NGS testing provides fast, accurate, and comprehensive assessment to guide treatment decisions<sup>37,38</sup>

# Disparities and barriers in prostate cancer impact biomarker testing access<sup>36,39–41</sup>

Although overall rates of NGS testing in the US are increasing, disparities and barriers to testing remain<sup>39</sup>



Cost of testing

 $\mathbf{\mathbf{\hat{O}}}$ 

Accessibility and availability of approved tests



Race and socioeconomic status

Individuals are less likely to undergo NGS testing if they<sup>36,40</sup>:

Are of Black or Hispanic/Latino race

Are of low socioeconomic status

Are on government insurance

Live in the Western US

### **Opportunity to optimize**

Be mindful of potential barriers that may impact molecular testing in appropriate patients to ensure they receive the treatment they need<sup>36,39,40</sup>

# MDTs collaborate to interpret test results and recommend a course of action<sup>39,41</sup>

Receive test result in EHR vs PDF/fax for rapid and more accurate access<sup>8,10\*</sup>



Specify biomarker presence, and positive or negative result, in the report<sup>10</sup>

**Results reporting considerations** 



Indicate targeted therapy eligibility from biomarker testing results<sup>8,10\*</sup> (eg, NGS for HRR alterations may indicate PARPis)

### Opportunities for laboratories and HCPs to collaborate for optimal testing and reporting

Work toward optimal testing and reporting practices  $^{\!\!8,10\ast}$ 

Support HCP awareness of ordering the appropriate test<sup>12,42</sup>

Improve communication within the MDT across the entire patient journey<sup>39,42</sup>

\*NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

# Prostate cancer biomarker testing is rapidly evolving in key areas of active research including<sup>43–45</sup>:



Use of liquid biopsy for detection of minimum residual disease (MRD)<sup>46</sup>

**MRD** refers to a small number of remaining tumor cells during or after treatment and **indicates tumor persistence and potential progression.** NGS is a primary MRD detection method<sup>47</sup>

**Liquid biopsy is emerging with the potential to detect MRD** based on the presence of ctDNA and CTCs<sup>46</sup>

#### **Circulating tumor cells (CTCs)**

- CTCs are derived from solid tumors<sup>46</sup>
- Analysis before salvage lymph node dissection may indicate metastasis<sup>46,48</sup>
- Analysis before and after radiotherapy may detect CTCs in patients with high-risk non-metastatic prostate cancer<sup>46,49</sup>

#### **Circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA)**

- Hypermethylation of *ZNF660* promotor may be used in differentiating indolent from aggressive cancers<sup>46,50</sup>
- Low allele fraction and technical or biological interference may affect the reliability of the results<sup>46</sup>



Emerging biomarkers with increasing interest in miRNAs<sup>51</sup>

#### miRNAs may have diagnostic, prognostic, and predictive value<sup>51</sup>

- miRNAs may be diagnostic, prognostic, and predictive biomarkers with particular promise in diagnosis of early disease<sup>51</sup>
- Ratios of circulating miRNAs may differentiate between localized prostate cancer and BPH to help avoid unnecessary biopsies<sup>51,52</sup>

### *AR* and *TP53* are potential prognostic biomarkers<sup>53,54</sup>

- *AR* alterations are associated with the development of CRPC and may be potential prognostic biomarkers for prostate cancer<sup>54–56</sup>
- Mutations leading to a loss of function in tumor suppressor genes like TP53 may be associated with progression<sup>53,57</sup>

#### Co-mutations may predict response in patient subgroups<sup>58,59</sup>

- Individuals with *BRCA* mutations co-occurring with *TP53* mutations have worse response to PARPi than those with only *BRCA* mutations<sup>58,59</sup>
- **Co-mutation of** *BRCA1* **with** *PARP1* may confer PARPi resistance<sup>58,60</sup>

### Prostate cancer biomarker testing is rapidly evolving in key areas of active research including<sup>43–45</sup> (cont'd):



The diagnostic, prognostic, and predictive value of epigenetic biomarkers<sup>53,61–63</sup>



Taking an integrated "multi-omic" approach to biomarker analysis<sup>69,70</sup>

#### Diagnosis of prostate cancer

• DNA methylation assays may be **effective in diagnosing early-stage cancers** because epigenetic alterations occur early in tumorigenesis and can be specific to both tissue and cancer type<sup>62</sup>

#### **Understanding prognosis**

- Testing for hypermethylation at biopsy (eg, ZNF660) may enable risk stratification and help avoid overtreatment of indolent prostate cancer<sup>46,50</sup>
- Hypermethylation of GSTP1, APC, RARB, and PITX2 is associated with an elevated risk of recurrence and/or mortality<sup>53,64-67</sup>

#### **Predictive treatment response**

• Cell-free DNA (cfDNA) methylation may help **identify resistance mechanisms**, such as in neuroendocrine prostate cancer<sup>61,68</sup>

#### Integrative multi-omics analysis

- Combining genomics, transcriptomics, and epigenomics enhances the ability to identify patients likely to benefit from current therapies, revealing critical mutational signatures linked to treatment response and early diagnosis<sup>69,70</sup>
- DNA methylation and mutational signatures
  - May help identify cancer cells, enabling early diagnosis and reducing overdiagnosis<sup>63,69</sup>
  - Effectively detect high-grade prostate cancer<sup>63,69</sup>
- Transcriptomic and proteomic insights
  - Differentially expressed genes, miRNAs, and proteomic signatures show promise as diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers for prostate cancer<sup>51,69</sup>

### Data integration and AI in cancer management

 Advances in high-throughput technologies, combined with bioinformatics and AI, may improve data analysis, enhance diagnostic accuracy, and aid in managing prostate cancer through better risk stratification<sup>53</sup>

# Biomarker testing informs prostate cancer treatment decisions<sup>6,9,71\*</sup>



**Understanding the molecular profile** of prostate cancer can **inform precision medicine approaches** that are tailored to the patient's tumor<sup>6,72\*</sup>



Adequate biopsy **sample quantity and quality and use of appropriate tests** is necessary for optimal identification of actionable prostate cancer biomarkers<sup>6,12,13\*</sup>



**MDT communication helps ensure best practices are followed** for sample collection, analysis, and interpretation of test results<sup>12,13,39,41</sup>

\*NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

Al, artificial intelligence; *APC, adenomatous polyposis coli*; AR, androgen receptor; *ATM, ataxia-telangiectasia mutated*; BPH, benign prostatic hyperplasia; AUA, American Urological Association; *BRCA, breast cancer gene*; *CDK12, cyclin-dependent kinase 12*; cfDNA, cell-free DNA; *CHD1, chromodomain helicase DNA-binding protein 1; CHEK2, checkpoint kinase 2*; CNV, copy number variant; CRPC, castration-resistant prostate cancer; CTC, circulating tumor cell; ctDNA, circulating tumor DNA; DNA, deoxyribonucleic acid; *EGFR, epidermal growth factor*; EHR, electronic health record; *ERF, ETS2 repressor factor*; *ETV1, ETS variant transcription factor*; *FANCA, FA complement group A; FOXA1, forkhead box A1*; *GATA2, GATA-binding protein 2; GSTP1, glutathione S-transferase P1*; HCP, healthcare provider; HRR, homologous recombination repair; *IGFR, insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor*; IHC, immunohistochemistry; indel, insertion-deletion; *KGFR, keratin growth factor receptor*; *MAGE-11, melanoma antigen gene protein-A1*; mCRPC, metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer; MDT, multidisciplinary team; miRNA, microribonucleic acid; *MLH1, MUTL homolog 1*; MRD, minimum residual disease; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; *MSH2/6, MutS homolog2/6*; MSI, microsatellite instability; *Myc, myelocytomatosis virus oncogene*; *NBN, nibrin gene*; NCCN, National Comprehensive Cancer Network® (NCCN®); NGS, next-generation sequencing; *NKX31, NK3 homeobox 1*; *PALB2, partner and localizer of BRCA2; PARP1, poly-ADP ribose polymerase-1*; PARPi, poly-ADP ribose polymerase inhibitor; *PCA3, prostate cancer antigen 3*; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PDF, portable document format; *PITX2, paired-like homeodomain transcription factor 2; PMS2, postmeiotic segregation increased 2*; PSA, prostate-specific antigen; PSMA, prostate-specific membrane antigen; *PTEN, phosphatase tensin homolog; RAD51D, RAD51 homolog D; RARB, retinoic acid receptor beta; RB, retinoblastoma;* ROSE, rapid on-site evaluation; SNV, single-nucleotide variant;

References: 1. Hatano K, Nonomura N. Genomic profiling of prostate cancer: an updated review. World J Mens Health. 2022;40(3):368-379. 2. Fontana F, Anselmi M, Limonta P. Molecular mechanisms and genetic alterations in prostate cancer: from diagnosis to targeted therapy. Cancer Lett. 2022;534:215619. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2022.215619 3. Chen JY, Wang PY, Liu MZ, et al. Biomarkers for prostate cancer: from diagnosis to treatment. Diagnostics (Basel). 2023;13(21):3350. doi:10.3390/diagnostics13213350 4. Abida W, Patnaik A, Campbell D, et al. Rucaparib in men with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer harboring a BRCA1 or BRCA2 gene alteration. J Clin Oncol. 2020;38(32):3763–3772. 5. Drew Y, Mulligan EA, Vong W-T, et al. Therapeutic potential of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitor AG014699 in human cancers with mutated or methylated BRCA1 or BRCA2. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2011;103(4):334–346. 6. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®) for Prostate Cancer V1.2025. © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2024. All rights reserved. Accessed March 18, 2025. To view the most recent and complete version of the guideline, go online to NCCN.org. 7. Marascio J, Spratt DE, Zhang J, et al. Prospective study to define the clinical utility and benefit of Decipher testing in men following prostatectomy. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis. 2020;23(2):295–302. **B**. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines<sup>®</sup>) for Genetic/Familial High-Risk Assessment: Breast, Ovarian, Pancreatic, and Prostate V.3.2025. © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2025. All rights reserved. Accessed March 18, 2025. To view the most recent and complete version of the guideline, go online to NCCN.org. 9. Lowrance W, Dreicer R, Jarrard DF, et al. Updates to advanced prostate cancer: AUA/SUO guideline (2023). J Urol. 2023;209(6):1082–1090. 10. Li MM, Datto M, Duncavage EJ, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation and reporting of sequence variants in cancer: a joint consensus recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists. J Mol Diagn. 2017;19(1):4–23. 11. Tsimberidou AM, Sireci A, Dumanois R, et al. Strategies to address the clinical practice gaps affecting the implementation of personalized medicine in cancer care. JCO Oncol Pract. 2024;20(6):761-766. 12. Shore ND, Morgans AK, El-Haddad G, et al. Addressing challenges and controversies in the management of prostate cancer with multidisciplinary teams. Target Oncol. 2022;17(3):709–725. 13. De Las Casas LE, Hicks DG. Pathologists at the leading edge of optimizing the tumor tissue journey for diagnostic accuracy and molecular testing. Am J Clin Pathol. 2021;155(6):781–792. 14. Cree IA, Deans Z, Ligtenberg MJL, et al; European Society of Pathology Task Force on Quality Assurance in Molecular Pathology; Royal College of Pathologists. Guidance for laboratories performing molecular pathology for cancer patients. J Clin Pathol. 2014;67(11):923–931. 15. American Cancer Society. Accessed March 18, 2025. http://www.cancer.org/cancer/types/ prostate-cancer/detection-diagnosis-staging/how-diagnosed.html 16. Gravestock P, Shaw M, Veeratterapillay R, Heer R. Chapter 12. Prostate Cancer Diagnosis: Biopsy Approaches. In: Barber N, Ali A, eds. Urologic Cancers [Internet]. Exons Publications; 2022. 17. Noureldin ME, Connor MJ, Boxall N, et al. Current techniques of prostate biopsy: an update from past to present. Transl Androl Urol. 2020;9(3):1510–1517. 18. Friedlander TW, Pritchard CC, Beltran H. Personalizing therapy for metastatic prostate cancer: the role of solid and liquid tumor biopsies. Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book. 2017;37:358–369. 19. Cheng HH, Sokolova AO, Schaeffer EM, et al. Germline and somatic mutations in prostate cancer for the clinician. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2019;17(5):515–521. 20. Gonzalez D, Mateo J, Stenzinger A, et al. Practical considerations for optimising homologous recombination repair mutation testing in patients with metastatic prostate cancer. J Pathol Clin Res. 2021;7(4):311–325. 21. Serefoglu EC, Altinova S, Ugras NS, et al. How reliable is 12-core prostate biopsy procedure in the detection of prostate cancer? Can Urol Assoc J. 2013;7(5–6):E293–E298. 22. Mathai RA, Vidya RVS, Reddy BS, et al. Potential utility of liquid biopsy as a diagnostic and prognostic tool for the assessment of solid tumors: implications in the precision oncology. J Clin Med. 2019;8(3):373. doi:10.3390/ jcm8030373 23. lonescu F, Zhang J, Wang L. Clinical applications of liquid biopsy in prostate cancer: from screening to predictive biomarker. Cancers (Basel). 2022;14(7):1728. doi:10.3390/cancers14071728 24. Vandekerkhove G, Struss WJ, Annala M, et al. Circulating tumor DNA abundance and potential utility in de novo metastatic prostate cancer. Eur Urol. 2019;75(4):667–675. 25. Compton CC, Robb JA, Anderson MW, et al. Preanalytics and precision pathology: pathology practices to ensure molecular integrity of cancer patient biospecimens for precision medicine. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2019;143(11):1346-1363. 26. Hayes DF. Defining clinical utility of tumor biomarker tests: a clinician's viewpoint. J Clin Oncol. 2021;39(3):238–248. 27. Jennings LJ, Arcila ME, Corless C, et al. Guidelines for validation of next-generation sequencing-based oncology panels: a joint consensus recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology and College of American Pathologists. J Mol Diagn. 2017;19(3):341-365. 28. Chae YK, Arya A, Chiec L, tal. Challenges and future of biomarker tests in the era of precision oncology: can we rely on immunohistochemistry (IHC) or fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) to select the optimal patients for matched therapy? Oncotarget. 2017;8(59):100863–100898. **29.** Anu RI, Shamsudeen S, Leslie SW. Prostate Cancer Tissue-Based Biomarkers. In: StatPearls [Internet]. StatPearls Publishing; 2023. 30. Bridge JA. Reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction molecular testing of cytology specimens: pre-analytic and analytic factors. Cancer Cytopathol. 2017;125(1):11–19. 31. Palacín-Aliana I, Garcia-Romero N, Asensi-Puig A, et al. Clinical utility of liquid biopsy-based actionable mutations detected via ddPCR. Biomedicines. 2021;9(8):906. doi:10.3390/biomedicines9080906 32. Schwartzberg L, Kim ES, Liu D, et al. Precision oncology: who, how, what, when, and when not? Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book. 2017;37:160–169. **33**. Scott RJ, Mehta A, Macedo GS, et al. Genetic testing for homologous recombination repair (HRR) in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC): challenges and solutions. Oncotarget. 2021;12(16):1600–1614. **34**. Tuffaha H, Edmunds K, Fairbairn D, et al. Guidelines for genetic testing in prostate cancer: a scoping review. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis. 2024;27(4):594–603. **35**. Garibyan L, Avishia N. Polymerase chain reaction. J Invest Dermatol. 2013;133(3):1–4. **36.** Hage Chehade Č, Jo Y, Gebrael G. Trends and disparities in next-generation sequencing in metastatic prostate and urothelial cancers. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2024;7(7):e2423186. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.23186 **37.** Hovelson DH, Tomlins SA. The role of next-generation sequencing in castration-resistant prostate cancer treatment. Cancer J. 2016;22(5):357-361. 38. Ortendahl JD, Carter GC, Thakkar SG, et al. Value of next generation sequencing (NGS) testing in advanced cancer patients. J Med Econ. 2024;27(1):519-530. 39. Moore DC, Guinigundo AS. The advanced practitioner's role in the rapidly evolving landscape of precision medicine. J Adv Pract Oncol. 2023;14(suppl 1):39–48. **40.** Meernik C, Wang F, Raveendran Y, et al. Association of race and ethnicity with genomic testing at a comprehensive cancer center in North Carolina. Cancer Res Commun. 2024;4(11):2968–2975. **41.** West HJ, Lovly CM. Ferrying oncologists across the chasm of interpreting biomarker testing reports: systematic support being prostate cancer. JAMA Oncol. 2024;10(6):726–736. 45. Alahdal M, Perera RA, Moschovas MC, et al. Current advances of liquid biopsies in prostate cancer: molecular biomarkers. Mol Ther Oncolytics. 2023;30:27–38. 46. Heidrich I, Deitert B, Werner S, et al. Liquid biopsy for monitoring of tumor dormancy and early detection of disease recurrence in solid tumors. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2023;42(1):161–182. 47. Zhu L, Xu R, Yang L, et al. Minimal residual disease (MRD) detection in solid tumors using circulating tumor DNA: a systematic review. Front Genet. 2023;14:1172108. doi:10.3389/fgene.2023.1172108 48. Knipper S, Riethdorf S, Werner S, et al. Possible role of circulating tumour cells for prediction of salvage lymph node dissection outcome in patients with early prostate cancer recurrence. Eur Urol Open Sci. 2021;34:55–58. 49. Chen S, Tauber G, Langsenlehner T, et al. In vivo detection of circulating tumor cells in high-risk non-metastatic prostate cancer patients undergoing radiotherapy. Cancers (Basel). 2019;11(7):933. doi:10.3390/ cancers11070933 50. Haldrup C, Pedersen AL, Øgaard N, et al. Biomarker potential of ST6GALNAC3 and ZNF660 promoter hypermethylation in prostate cancer tissue and liquid biopsies. *Mol Oncol.* 2018;12(4):545–560. 51. Alarcón-Zendejas AP, Scavuzzo A, Jiménez-Ríos MA, et al. The promising role of new molecular biomarkers in prostate cancer: from coding and non-coding genes to artificial intelligence approaches. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis. 2022;25(3):431-443. 52. Sharova E, Grassi A, Marcer A, et al. A circulating miRNA assay as a first-line test for prostate cancer screening. Br J Cancer. 2016;114(12):1362–1366. 53. Eickelschulte S, Riediger AL, Angeles AK, et al. Biomarkers for the detection and risk stratification of aggressive prostate cancer. Cancers (Basel). 2022;14(24):6094. doi:10.3390/cancers14246094 54. Conteduca V, Mosca A, Brighi N, et al. New prognostic biomarkers in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. Cells. 2021;10(1):193. doi:10.3390/cells10010193 55. Joseph JD, Lu N, Qian J, et al. A clinically relevant androgen receptor mutation confers resistance to second-generation antiandrogens enzalutamide and ARN-509. Cancer Discov. 2013;3(9):1020-1029. 56. Li Ý, Yang R, Henzler CM, et al. Diverse AR gene rearrangements mediate resistance to androgen receptor inhibitors in metastatic prostate cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2020;26(8):1965–1976. 57. Velez MG, Kosiorek HE, Egan JB, et al. Differential impact of tumor suppressor gene (TP53, PTEN, RB1) alterations and treatment outcomes in metastatic hormone-sensitive prostate cancer. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis.* 2022;25(3):479–483. 58. Inoue T, Sekito S, Kageyama T, et al. Roles of the PARP inhibitor in BRCA1 and BRCA2 pathogenic mutated metastatic prostate cancer: direct functions and modification of the tumor microenvironment. Cancers (Basel). 2023;15(9):2662. doi:10.3390/cancers15092662 59. Taza F, Holler AE, Fu W, et al. Differential activity of PARP inhibitors in BRCA1- versus BRCA2-altered metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. JCO Precis Oncol. 2021;5:PO.21.00070. doi:10.1200/PO.21.00070 60. Pettitt SJ, Krastev DB, Brandsma I, et al. Genome-wide and high-density CRISPR-Cas9 screens identify point mutations in PARP1 causing PARP inhibitor resistance. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):1849. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-03917-2 61. Rafikova G, Gilyazova I, Enikeeva K, et al. Prostate cancer: genetics, epigenetics and the need for immunological biomarkers. Int J Mol Sci. 2023;24(16):12797. doi:10.3390/ijms241612797 62. Roy D, Tiirikainen M. Diagnostic power of DNA methylation classifiers for early detection of cancer. Trends Cancer. 2020;6(2):78–81. 63. Mahal BA, Margolis M, Hubbell E, et al. A targeted methylation-based multicancer early detection blood test preferentially detects high-grade prostate cancer while minimizing overdiagnosis of indolent disease. JCO Precis Oncol. 2024;8:e2400269. doi:10.1200/PO.24.00269 64. Dietrich D, Hasinger O, Bañez LL, et al. Development and clinical validation of a real-time PCR assay for PITX2 DNA methylation to predict prostate-specific antigen recurrence in prostate cancer patients following radical prostatectomy. J Mol Diagn. 2013;15(20):270–279. 65. Malonado L, Brait M, Loyo M, et al. GSTP1 promoter methylation is associated with recurrence in early stage prostate cancer. J Urol. 2014;192(5):1542–1548. 66. Rybicki BA, Rundle A, Kryvenko ON, et al. Methylation in benign prostate and risk of disease progression in men subsequently diagnosed with prostate cancer. Int J Cancer. 2016;138(12):2884–2893. 67. Richiardi L, Fiano V, Vizzini L, et al. Promoter methylation in APC, RUNX3, and GSTP1 and mortality in prostate cancer patients. J Clin Oncol. 2009;27(19):3161–3168. 68. Panja S, Hayati S, Epsi NJ, et al. Integrative (epi) genomic analysis to predict response to androgen-deprivation therapy in prostate cancer. EBioMedicine. 2018;31:110–121. 69. Ma W, Tang W, Kwok JSL, et al. A review on trends in development and translation of omics signatures in cancer. Comput Struct Biotechnol J. 2024;23:954–971. 70. Mizuno K, Beltran H. Future directions for precision oncology in prostate cancer. Prostate. 2022;82(suppl 1):S86–S96. 71. Eastham JA, Auffenberg GB, Barocas DA, et al. Clinically localized prostate cancer: AUA/ASTRO guideline, part I: introduction, risk assessment, staging, and risk-based management. J Urol. 2022;208(1):10–18. 72. Fenton SE, VanderWeeler DJ, Rebbeck TR, et al. Advancing prostate cancer care: treatment approaches to precision medicine, biomarker innovations, and equitable access. Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book. 2024;44(3):e433138. doi:10.1200/EDBK\_433138



### Visit our website!

For additional resources on **Precision Medicine,** visit jnjprecisionmedicine.com



Data rates may apply



Data rates may apply

## Solutions start with a conversation

Take action and speak to J&J Precision Medicine jnjprecisionmedicine.com/contact

### Johnson&Johnson